

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ADDISON ORR,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JUSTIN WILLIAMS; DOUGLAS MIDKIFF,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:20-cv-00423-GMN-DJA

**ORDER**

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of United States Magistrate Judge Daniel Albregts, (ECF No. 4), which recommends that the case be dismissed without prejudice.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so, May 11, 2020, has passed.


1 (Min. Order, ECF No. 4).

2 Accordingly,

3 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 4), is  
4 **ACCEPTED AND ADOPTED in full.**

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's case is **DISMISSED without prejudice.**

6 **DATED** this 13 day of May, 2020.

7  
8   
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge  
United States District Court